Kaluza-Klein cosmological model in $f(R,T)$ gravity with $\Lambda(T)$

P.K. Sahoo*, B. Mishra†, S.K. Tripathy‡

A class of Kaluza-Klein cosmological models in $f(R,T)$ theory of gravity have been investigated. In the work, we have considered the functional $f(R,T)$ to be in the form $f(R,T) = f(R)+f(T)$ with $f(R) = \lambda R$ and $f(T) = \lambda T$. Such a choice of the functional $f(R,T)$ leads to an evolving effective cosmological constant Λ which depends on the stress energy tensor. The source of the matter field is taken to be a perfect cosmic fluid. The exact solutions of the field equations are obtained by considering a constant deceleration parameter which leads two different aspects of the volumetric expansion namely a power law and an exponential volumetric expansion. Keeping an eye on the accelerating nature of the universe in the present epoch, the dynamics and physical behaviour of the models have been discussed. From statefinder diagnostic pair we found that the model with exponential volumetric expansion behaves more like a ΛCDM model.

PACS numbers: 04.50.kd.

arXiv:1411.4735v2 [gr-qc] 24 Nov 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

The late time accelerated expansion of the universe has attracted much attention in recent years. Direct evidence of cosmic acceleration comes from high red-shift supernova experiments [1–3]. Some other observations, such as cosmic microwave background fluctuations [4, 5], baryon acoustics oscillations [6], large scale structure [7] and weak lensing [8] provide a strong support in favour of cosmic acceleration. These observations led to so many novel ideas and changed the concept regarding the matter-energy budget of universe. The accelerated expansion is usually attributed to a strong negative pressure due to an exotic dark energy form. Despite a lot of success, Einstein's general relativity (GR) in its usual form fails to explain the late time acceleration. However, a cosmological constant (may be time varying) in the source term can be a good candidate for dark energy. It is now believed that the universe is mostly dominated by the presence of dark energy (68.3%) and dark matter (26.8%). The baryonic matter comprises only of 4.9%. Of late, modified theories of general relativity seem attractive in the understanding of the exotic nature of dark energy and the consequent late time cosmic acceleration. GR can be modified in two different ways. Either the matter source can be modified (by considering scalar field contribution or by including an exotic energy source term in the usual field equations) or by modifying the underlying geometry. The later method, of late, has gained much interest where the standard Einstein-Hilbert action is replaced by an arbitrary function may be of Ricci Scalar R ($f(R)$ gravity), of scalar torsion T ($f(T)$ gravity), of G ($f(G)$ gravity and $f(R,G)$ gravity) or of inclusion of some other matter field Lagrangian along with some geometrical features. For a recent reviews on modified gravity models one can see [9–11] and references therein. Among the various modifications, $f(R)$ theory of gravity is treated most suitable due to cosmologically important $f(R)$ models. It has been suggested that cosmic acceleration can be achieved by replacing the Einstein-Hilbert action of general relativity with a general function of Ricci scalar, $f(R)$. A generalization of $f(R)$ modified theories of gravity was proposed [12], by including in the theory an explicit coupling of an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R with the matter Lagrangian density L_m. As a result of the coupling the motion of the massive particles is non geodesic, and an extra force orthogonal to the four velocity arises. Nojiri and Odintsov [11] have reviewed various modified gravity theories that are considered as gravitational alternatives for dark energy. Many authors [13–16] have investigated $f(R)$ gravity in different context. Shamir [17] has proposed a physically viable $f(R)$ gravity model, which show the unification of early time inflation and late time acceleration.

Recently, Harko et al. [18] proposed a modified theory of gravity dubbed as $f(R,T)$ gravity. This theory is a generalisation of $f(R)$ theory where the gravitational Lagrangian is taken as an arbitrary function of the Ricci scalar R and the trace T of the stress energy tensor. The gravitational field equations are obtained in metric formalism, which follow from the covariant divergence of the stress energy tensor. The dependence of trace T may be introduced by exotic imperfect fluids or quantum effects (conformal anomaly). The covariant divergence of the stress energy tensor is non zero and as a result the motion of test particles is non-geodesic. An extra acceleration is always present due to the coupling between matter and geometry. Recently many authors have investigated different issues in cosmology with late time acceleration in $f(R,T)$ gravity. Adhav [19] has obtained LRS Bianchi type I cosmological model in $f(R,T)$ gravity. In the frame work of $f(R,T)$ gravity, Reddy et al. [20] have discussed Bianchi type III cosmological

* Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science-Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad 500078, India, Email: sahoomaku@rediffmail.com.

† Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science-Pilani, Hyderabad Campus, Hyderabad 500078, India, Email: bivudutta@yahoo.com.

‡ Department of Physics, Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, Sarang, Dhenkanal, Odisha-759146, India Email: tripathy_sunil@rediffmail.com.

model while Reddy et al. [21], Reddy and Shanthikumar [22] studied Bianchi type III dark energy model and some anisotropic cosmological models, respectively. In some recent works, different aspects of this modified gravity theory have been investigated by Chaubey and Shukla [23], Rao and Neelima [24], Sahoo et al. [25], Mishra and Sahoo [26]. Yadav [27], Ahmed and Pradhan [28] have studied Bianchi type-V string and perfect fluid cosmological models respectively by considering $f(R,T) = f_1(R) + f_2(T)$. In their works, Sharif [29], Alvarenga [30] and Myrzakulov [31, 32] have investigated different aspects of $f(R,T)$ gravity.

In the present work, we have investigated a class of cosmological models described by Kaluza-Klein space-time for a perfect fluid distribution in the framework of $f(R,T)$ gravity. We chose a specific choice of the functional $f(R,T) = f(R) + f(T)$ with $f(R) = \lambda R$ and $f(T) = \lambda T$. Such a choice of the functional $f(R,T)$ leads to an evolving effective cosmological constant Λ which depends on the stress energy tensor. The organisation of this paper is as follows: The field equations for Kaluza-Klein model in the frame work of $f(R,T)$ gravity are derived using the particular form $f(R,T) = f(R) + f(T)$ in Sect. 2 . We are interested in the dynamics of late time acceleration of the universe, when is it believed that, the deceleration parameter is either slowly varying or almost constant of time. A constant deceleration parameter leads to two different volumetric expansion laws namely: power law and exponential expansion law. The dynamics of universe in $f(R,T)$ gravity are studied using power law and exponential expansion law of volume scale factor in Sect-3 and Sec-4 respectively. The physical behaviour of the models are also discussed. At the end, summary and conclusions of the work are presented in Sect. 5. In the present work, we restrict ourselves to the natural unit system with $G = c = 1$, where G is the Newtonian gravitational constant and c is the speed of light in vacuum.

II. FIELD EQUATIONS FOR KALUZA-KLEIN MODEL IN $f(R,T)$ GRAVITY

The action for the modified $f(R,T)$ gravity takes the form [18, 28, 29]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi}\int f(R,T)\sqrt{-g}d^4x + \int L_m\sqrt{-g}d^4x, \tag{1}$$

where $f(R,T)$ is an arbitrary function of Ricci scalar (R) and the trace $T = g^{ij}T_{ij}$ of stress-energy tensor T_{ij}. L_m is the matter Lagrangian density.

Varying the action (1) with respect to the metric tensor components g_{ij}, we get

$$f_R(R,T)R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}f(R,T)g_{ij} + (g_{ij}\Box - \nabla_i\nabla_j)f_R(R,T) = 8\pi T_{ij} - f_T(R,T)T_{ij} - f_T(R,T)\theta_{ij}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\theta_{ij} = -2T_{ij} + g_{ij}L_m - 2g^{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial^2 L_m}{\partial g^{ij}\partial g^{\alpha\beta}}. \tag{3}$$

Here $f_R(R,T) = \frac{\partial f(R,T)}{\partial R}$, $f_T(R,T) = \frac{\partial f(R,T)}{\partial T}$. $\Box \equiv \nabla^i\nabla_i$ is the De Alembert's operator.

The functional $f(R,T)$ depends on the nature of the matter field and hence for different choices of the functional will lead to different models. Since the choice of the functional is arbitrary, in the present work, we consider it in the form $f(R,T) = f(R) + f(T)$ with $f(R) = \lambda R$ and $f(T) = \lambda T$, where λ is an arbitrary constant. It is worth to note here that, in his work [18] , Harko et al. considered three different classes of $f(R,T)$ gravity models:

$$f(R,T) = \begin{cases} R + 2f(T) \\ f_1(R) + f_2(T) \\ f_1(R) + f_2(R)f_3(T). \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The class of models in the present work belong to the second category. The matter source of the universe is usually considered to be perfect fluid for which the energy momentum tensor takes the form $T_{ij} = (\rho + p)u_iu_j - pg_{ij}$. Here $u^i = (0,0,0,0,1)$ is the velocity vector in comoving coordinates which satisfies the condition $u^iu_i = 1$ and $u^i\nabla_j u_i = 0$. ρ and p are energy density and pressure of the fluid respectively. The matter Lagrangian can be taken as $L_m = -p$. Now θ_{ij} in (3) can be reduced to

$$\theta_{ij} = -2T_{ij} - pg_{ij} \tag{5}$$

For the specific choice $f(R,T) = \lambda(R+T)$, the field equation (2) reduces to

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = \left(\frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}\right)T_{ij} + \left(p + \frac{1}{2}T\right)g_{ij}. \tag{6}$$

We choose a small negative value for the arbitrary λ to draw a better analogy with the usual Einstein field equations and we intend to keep this choice of λ throughout.

Einstein field equations with cosmological constant term is usually expressed as

$$R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ij} = -8\pi T_{ij} + \Lambda g_{ij}. \tag{7}$$

A comparison of equations (6) and (7) provides us

$$\Lambda \equiv \Lambda(T) = p + \frac{1}{2}T \tag{8}$$

and $8\pi = \frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}$. In other words, $p + \frac{1}{2}T$ behaves as cosmological constant and rather than simply being a constant it evolves through the cosmic expansion. The dependence of the cosmological constant Λ on the trace of the energy momentum tensor T has been proposed before by Poplawski [34] where cosmological constant in the gravitational Lagrangian is considered as a function of the trace energy-momentum tensor, and consequently the model was denoted $\Lambda(T)$ gravity model. The $\Lambda(T)$ gravity model is consistent with the recent observational data favouring a variable cosmological constant.

We consider a five dimensional Kaluza-Klein metric in the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - A(t)^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2) - B(t)^2 d\psi^2 \tag{9}$$

where the fifth coordinate ψ is taken to be space-like.
In a co-moving coordinate system the field equations (6), for the metric (9), can be explicitly written as

$$2\frac{A''}{A} + \left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)^2 + 2\frac{A'B'}{AB} + \frac{B''}{B} = \left(\frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}\right)p - \Lambda \tag{10}$$

$$3\frac{A''}{A} + 3\left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}\right)p - \Lambda \tag{11}$$

$$3\left(\frac{A'}{A}\right)^2 + 3\frac{A'B'}{AB} = -\left(\frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}\right)\rho - \Lambda \tag{12}$$

where an overhead prime hereafter, denote ordinary differentiation with respect to cosmic time "t" only. The trace in our model is given by $T = -4p + \rho$, so that the effective cosmological constant in equation (8) reduces to

$$\Lambda(T) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho - 2p). \tag{13}$$

The spatial volume is given by

$$V = a^4 = A^3 B \tag{14}$$

where a is the mean scale factor.
Subtracting (10) from (11), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{A'}{A} - \frac{B'}{B}\right) + \left(\frac{A'}{A} - \frac{B'}{B}\right)\frac{V'}{V} = 0 \tag{15}$$

which on integration yields

$$\frac{A}{B} = c_2 \exp\left[c_1 \int \frac{dt}{V}\right] \tag{16}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are integration constants.
Using (14), the values of scale factors A and B can be written explicitly as

$$A = c_2^{1/4} V^{1/4} \exp\left[\frac{c_1}{4} \int \frac{dt}{V}\right] \tag{17}$$

and

$$B = c_2^{-3/4} V^{1/4} \exp\left[\frac{-3c_1}{4} \int \frac{dt}{V}\right] \tag{18}$$

The directional Hubble parameters along different directions are defined as $H_x = \frac{A'}{A} = H_y = H_z$ and $H_\psi = \frac{B'}{B}$ so that the mean Hubble parameter becomes $H = \frac{1}{4}(3H_x + H_\psi)$. In terms of the directional Hubble parameters, we can express the field equations(10)-(12) as

$$2H_x' + 3H_x^2 + 2H_xH_\psi + H_\psi' + H_\psi^2 = \alpha p - \Lambda, \tag{19}$$

$$3(H_x' + 2H_x^2) = \alpha p - \Lambda, \tag{20}$$

$$3(H_x^2 + H_xH_\psi) = -\alpha\rho - \Lambda, \tag{21}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{8\pi+\lambda}{\lambda}$.

Equations (20), (21) along with eqn.(13) provide us the general formulations for the physical parameters of the $f(R,T)$ model. Pressure, energy density and the effective cosmological constant for the model can be explicitly expressed in terms of the directional Hubble parameters as

$$p = \frac{3}{\alpha(2\alpha+3)}\left[(2\alpha+1)H_x' + (4\alpha+1)H_x^2 - H_xH_\psi\right], \tag{22}$$

$$\rho = \frac{6}{\alpha(2\alpha+3)}\left[H_x' + (1-\alpha)H_x^2 - (\alpha+1)H_xH_\psi\right], \tag{23}$$

$$\Lambda = -\frac{3}{2\alpha+3}\left[2H_x' + 5H_x^2 + H_xH_\psi\right]. \tag{24}$$

The ratio of pressure and energy density is usually referred to as the equation of state and is considered as an important quantity in the description of dynamics of the universe. The equation of state parameter can be calculated directly from the expressions of p and ρ as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{(2\alpha+1)H_x' + (4\alpha+1)H_x^2 - H_xH_\psi}{H_x' + (1-\alpha)H_x^2 - (\alpha+1)H_xH_\psi}\right]. \tag{25}$$

Deceleration parameter $q = -1 - \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$ is important quantity in the study of the dynamics of universe. A positive value of the deceleration parameter signifies a decelerating universe whereas its negative value shows that the universe is accelerating. A lot of observational data in recent past has confirmed that the universe is presently undergoing an accelerated phase of expansion and hence it is plausible to think of a negative value of the deceleration parameter. In fact, observations data constrained this parameter to be $q = -0.34 \pm 0.05$ in the present time [35]. At late times of cosmic evolution, the deceleration parameter slowly varies with time or becomes a constant. It is worth to mention here that, determination of deceleration parameter involves the observation of supernova with high redshift and hence becoming a tough task. Therefore, the time variation of deceleration parameter still remains an open question. In the present work, we are particularly interested in the dynamics of the late time accelerated universe and assume a constant deceleration parameter eventhough a variable deceleration parameter with a transition from positive value to negative value is considered in many earlier works. A constant deceleration parameter will lead to models with two different volumetric expansion namely power law expansion and exponential expansion. In the sections to follow, we concentrate on Kaluza-Klein model with a constant deceleration parameter providing power law and exponential volumetric expansion.

III. POWER LAW EXPANSION

Equations (10)-(12) are three independent equations in four unknowns A, B, ρ, p and require some additional conditions to get viable cosmological models with determinate solutions. Here, we consider a power law volumetric expansion [36]

$$V = A^3B = t^m, \tag{26}$$

which covers all possible expansion histories through out the evolution of the universe, where m is a positive constant. The positive nature of the exponent m is in accordance with observational findings predicting an expanding universe. The power law expansion of the universe predicts a deceleration parameter $q = -\frac{a\ddot{a}}{\dot{a}^2} = -1 + \frac{4}{m}$. a is the average scale factor of the universe and is related to the directional scale factors A and B through $a = (A^3B)^{1/4}$. Keeping an eye on the recent observational data favouring an accelerating universe, we choose to go in favour of a negative

deceleration parameter. For the present model, such a consideration suggests that the parameter m should be more than 4. The Hubble rate for this model will be $H = \frac{m}{4t}$, which obviously decreases with the growth of cosmic time.

The directional scale factors can be obtained by using (26) in (17) and (18) as

$$A = c_2^{1/4} t^{m/4} \exp\left[\frac{c_1}{4(1-m)} t^{1-m}\right] \tag{27}$$

and

$$B = c_2^{-3/4} t^{m/4} \exp\left[\frac{-3c_1}{4(1-m)} t^{1-m}\right] \tag{28}$$

Consequently, the directional Hubble parameters in respective directions are

$$H_x = H_y = H_z = H + \frac{c_1}{4} t^{-m}, \tag{29}$$

$$H_\psi = H - \frac{3c_1}{4} t^{-m}. \tag{30}$$

The expansion scalar $\Theta = 4H$ is

$$\Theta = mt^{-1}. \tag{31}$$

Pressure p, energy density ρ and cosmological constant Λ for the model (9) can now be obtained from (22)-(24) and (29)-(30) as

$$p(t) = \frac{3}{4\alpha(2\alpha+3)} \left[(\alpha+1)c_1^2 t^{-2m} + m(\alpha m - 2\alpha - 1)t^{-2}\right] \tag{32}$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{3}{4\alpha(2\alpha+3)} \left[(\alpha+2)c_1^2 t^{-2m} - m(\alpha m + 2)t^{-2}\right] \tag{33}$$

and

$$\Lambda = -\frac{3}{8(2\alpha+3)} \left[c_1^2 t^{-2m} + m(3m-4)t^{-2}\right]. \tag{34}$$

A. Physical behaviour of the power law model

The power law expansion of cosmological volume factor along with the consideration of an accelerating behaviour suggests that the exponent m should always be greater than 4. From the expressions of pressure and energy density in (32) and (33), it is obvious that the first terms containing the factor t^{-2m} quickly decrease to extremely small values for large cosmic time t and the second terms dominate. In other words, at a later epoch, pressure and energy density behave as t^{-2}. That is the magnitude of pressure and energy density decrease with the growth of the cosmic time. However, the sign of these quantities depend upon the choice of the parameters m and α. In order to get the beautiful equation (8), the coupling constant λ has to assume a small negative value. To be more accurate, for the present discussion, we restrict ourselves to the particular value of $\lambda = -\frac{8\pi}{8\pi+1}$ which gives us $\alpha = -8\pi$. In figures 1 and 2 we have plotted the time evolution of pressure and energy density. It is clear from figure 1 that pressure assumes negative values throughout the evolution of the cosmic time and it increases from a large negative pressure to small value at a later epoch. As it is evident from the host of observational data favouring an accelerated expansion of the universe, it is believed that a negative pressure is required to provide an anti gravity effect and to drive the acceleration. On the other hand, the energy density decreases from some large positive value in the initial epoch to very small values in later times.

The cosmic evolution of the cosmological constant is plotted in figure 3. At a later epoch, the behaviour of cosmological constant is decided by the second term in equation (34). The cosmological constant assumes a large value in the initial phase of cosmic evolution and decrease to small value at later epoch. The cosmological constant assumes positive value throughout the cosmic evolution. This sort of behaviour of the cosmological constant is in accordance to the present accelerated behaviour. Such a behaviour is in consistent with the ΛCDM model where a small but positive value of the cosmological constant is required to explain the accelerated nature of the universe.

The equation of state for the power law model becomes

$$\omega = \frac{(\alpha+1)c_1^2 t^{-2m} + m(\alpha m - 2\alpha - 1)t^{-2}}{(\alpha+2)c_1^2 t^{-2m} - m(\alpha m + 2)t^{-2}}. \tag{35}$$



FIG. 1: Pressure as function of cosmic time



FIG. 2: Energy density as function of cosmic time



FIG. 3: Evolution of effective Cosmological constant

At a later epoch, when both the first terms in the numerator and denominator of eqn.(35) containing t^{-2m} subside, the equation of state behaves as

$$\omega = -1 + \frac{2\alpha + 3}{\alpha m + 2}. \tag{36}$$

In figure 4, we have shown the the evolution of the equation of state parameter for a particular value of the exponent m i.e $m = 5$. The equation of state parameter is negative throughout the cosmic evolution. The equation of state parameter increases from a low value at the beginning to an asymptotic value at a later epoch. It is found from investigation that, with the increase in the value of the exponent m, the asymptotic value decreases. In order to get a clear picture of evolution of ω, we have plotted it as a function of redshift z in figure 5. The redshift z is defined through the relation $1 + z = \frac{1}{a}$, where we have considered the value of mean scale factor at the present epoch to be 1. In the past, ω evolves from a negative value and increases gradually to a constant value in future. However, if we restrict ourselves to the time period encompassing recent past and future with the redshift in the range $-0.5 \leq z \leq 2$, ω remains almost constant.

From the expression of the scalar expansion, it is evident that, this quantity decreases from some large values at the beginning to very small values at a later epoch.

In figures 6 and 7, the energy conditions are shown. It is clear from the figures that the model with power law volumetric expansion satisfy the weak energy condition $\rho \geq 0$ and dominant energy conditions $\rho - p \geq 0, \rho + p \geq 0$ through out the cosmic evolution.

Statefinder pairs $\{r, s\}$ involve third derivatives of the scale factor and provide us a better idea about the geometry and becomes a powerful tool to distinguish between the dark energy models. The statefinder parameters are defined as

$$r = 2q^2 + q - \frac{\dot{q}}{H}, \tag{37}$$

and

$$s = \frac{r - 1}{3(q - 0.5)}. \tag{38}$$



FIG. 4: Evolution of state parameter as function of cosmic time



FIG. 5: Evolution of state parameter as function of redshift



FIG. 6: Energy condition $\rho - p$ as function of cosmic time



FIG. 7: Energy condition $\rho + p$ as function of cosmic time

Since the deceleration parameter for this model is $q = -1 + \frac{4}{m}$, r can be expressed as

$$r = 1 + \frac{32}{m^2} - \frac{12}{m}, \tag{39}$$

so that s becomes

$$s = \frac{8}{m}. \tag{40}$$

The relationship between the two statefinder parameters is

$$r = s\left(\frac{12}{m} + \frac{3m}{8n} - 4.5\right). \tag{41}$$

The $r - s$ trajectory becomes a straight line with a slope of $\frac{12}{m} + \frac{3m}{8n} - 4.5$. The ΛCDM model corresponds to $r = 1$ and $s = 0$.

IV. EXPONENTIAL VOLUMETRIC EXPANSION

The exponential expansion of volume factor

$$V = e^{4H_0 t}, \tag{42}$$

usually leads to a de Sitter kind of universe. Here, H_0 is the Hubble parameter in the present epoch. We have investigated the dynamics of the universe in $f(R,T)$ model with exponential volumetric expansion in order to get a clear picture about the dynamics of this model in comparison to the power law model. The Hubble parameter is a

constant quantity throughout the expansion history of the universe. For this choice, the directional expansion rates will be

$$H_x = H_y = H_z = H_0 + \frac{c_1}{4}e^{-4H_0 t}, \tag{43}$$

$$H_\psi = H_0 - 3\frac{c_1}{4}e^{-4H_0 t}. \tag{44}$$

It is interesting to note that, even though the mean Hubble parameter is a constant quantity, the directional Hubble rates are time dependent. Similar tendency of Hubble parameter has been recently investigated [37]. The expansion rate along the usual axes i.e x, y and z axes decrease from $H_0 + \frac{c_1}{4}$ in the initial epoch to the mean Hubble rate H_0 at a later epoch. On the other hand, the expansion rate along the extra dimension increase from $H_0 - \frac{3c_1}{4}$ to be equal to the mean Hubble rate at a later epoch. The deceleration parameter for this model is $q = -1$ and it predicts an accelerated expansion.

For this model, we get the scale factors as

$$A = c_2^{1/4} \exp\left[H_0 t - \frac{c_1}{16H_0}e^{-4H_0 t}\right], \tag{45}$$

and

$$B = c_2^{-3/4} \exp\left[H_0 t + \frac{3c_1}{16H_0}e^{-4H_0 t}\right]. \tag{46}$$

Pressure (p), energy density ρ and cosmological constant Λ for the model (9) are calculated to be

$$p(t) = \frac{3}{4\alpha(2\alpha+3)}\left[(\alpha+1)c_1^2 e^{-8H_0 t} + 16\alpha H_0^2\right], \tag{47}$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{3}{4\alpha(2\alpha+3)}\left[(\alpha+2)c_1^2 e^{-8H_0 t} - 16\alpha H_0^2\right] \tag{48}$$

and

$$\Lambda = \frac{3}{8(2\alpha+3)}\left[c_1^2 e^{-8H_0 t} - 48H_0^2\right]. \tag{49}$$

The scalar expansion for this model is obtained as

$$\Theta = 4H_0. \tag{50}$$

A. Physical behaviour of the exponential law model

In this model, both the pressure and energy density of the universe evolve with time. The time dependent terms in the pressure and energy density are the 1st terms containing the factor $e^{-8H_0 t}$. Since, the value of Hubble rate in the present epoch is around 70, these terms quickly go to zero and the constant terms dominate at a later epoch. Therefore in this model, very quickly a steady state for pressure and energy density is achieved. Pressure increases from a large negative value in the beginning of cosmic time and approaches to a constant value $\frac{12H_0^2}{(2\alpha+3)}$. Similarly, energy density decreases from a large positive value in beginning of cosmic time to a constant value $-\frac{12H_0^2}{(2\alpha+3)}$.

The cosmological constant also decreases from large positive value to a small positive value $-\frac{18H_0^2}{2\alpha+3}$ quickly. Such a behaviour of the cosmological constant is required to explain the accelerated nature of the cosmic expansion.

The equation of state parameter for this model is given by

$$\omega = \frac{(\alpha+1)c_1^2 e^{-8H_0 t} + 16\alpha H_0^2}{(\alpha+2)c_1^2 e^{-8H_0 t} - 16\alpha H_0^2}. \tag{51}$$

We have shown the evolution of the equation of state parameter in figure 8. From the figure it is clear that, ω lies in the phantom region. With the growth of time, it asymptotically increases from a higher negative value to approach -1 at late times. In figure 9, ω is plotted as a function of redshift z. It may be noted from the figure that, ω evolves from certain positive value in the past and at a critical transition time it suddenly crosses the phantom divide and becomes negative and asymptotically it increases to -1 in future time. The statefinder pairs $\{r, s\}$ for this models equal to that of the ΛCDM model value $\{1, 0\}$.



FIG. 8: Evolution of equation of state parameter for exponential model. The red line in the graph shows the phantom divide



FIG. 9: Evolution of equation of state parameter as a function of redshift. The red line in the graph shows the phantom divide

V. CONCLUSION

The dynamics of a five dimensional Kaluza-Klein metric for a perfect fluid distribution is studied in the frame work of a modified gravity theory, known as $f(R,T)$ gravity model proposed by Harko et al. [18]. In the $f(R,T)$ theory of gravity, the gravitational Lagrangian is given by an arbitrary function of the Ricci Scalar R and of the trace T of the stress energy tensor. Out of the different plausible choices for the functional $f(R,T)$, we have used a particular choice $f(R,T) = \lambda(R+T)$, where λ is an arbitrary constant having a small negative value. We have shown that, for a particular choice of this parameter, i.e. $\lambda = -\frac{8\pi}{8\pi+1}$, the field equations in this model nicely reduce to the usual Relativistic equations with an effective time dependent cosmological constant. This effective cosmological constant can then be expressed in terms of the rest energy density and pressure. In the present work, we have developed a general formalism to calculate the pressure, rest energy density and effective cosmological constant in terms of the directional Hubble parameters. In the context of recent observations predicting an accelerating universe and the exotic form of dark energy responsible for cosmic acceleration, we have studied two different models considering two different kinds of volumetric expansion namely, power law expansion and exponential expansion. The physical and geometrical aspects of the models are also investigated.

In the power law model, it is found that, pressure increases from a large negative value to an asymptotic value at a later epoch, whereas in the exponential model, pressure increases from a large negative value to a constant value. The increment in the later model is very fast as compared to the power law model. In the second case, the pressure quickly reaches to a steady state. Similarly, the energy density in the power law model decreases from a large positive to very small values at late times. But in the exponential model, the rest energy density decreases from a large positive value to a steady state value at a much quicker rate. In both the models, the effective cosmological constant decreases from large positive value to small positive value. However, for exponential expansion of volume factor, the effective cosmological constant stabilises around a constant value in a late period of cosmic evolution.

The dynamics of the universe is studied through the equation of state parameter. In the first case, the equation of state increases from certain negative value to a steady constant value which lies above the phantom divide whereas in the second case, the equation of state parameter asymptotically evolves from below the phantom divide to the phantom divide and behaves like a cosmological constant at a late epoch. It is interesting to note from the discussion that, in the second model, the mean Hubble parameter is a constant quantity throughout the cosmic evolution but the directional Hubble parameters are time dependent and evolve through the expansion history. In the usual dimensions, the Hubble rate decrease from a large value to the mean constant value whereas in the extra dimension, the expansion rate exponentially increase to be equal to the mean Hubble rate at a later time. From the statefinder diagnosis we found that, the second model with exponential volumetric expansion, behaves more like a ΛCDM model with the statefinder pair $\{r,s\}$ as $\{1,0\}$ and in the first case the $r-s$ trajectory becomes a straight line.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

PKS would like to thank the Institute of Mathematical Sciences (IMSc), Chennai, India for providing facility and support during a visit where part of this work was done. SKT likes to thank Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar for

providing necessary facility for accomplishing a part of this work.

---

[1] A. G. Riess et al.: Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998).
[2] A. G. Riess et al. (Supernova Search Team): Astron. J. **607**, 665 (2004).
[3] C. L. Bennet et al.: Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **148**, 1 (2003).
[4] D. N. Spergel et al. : Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **148**, 175 (2003).
[5] D. N. Spergel et al. (WMAP): Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **170**, 3771 (2007).
[6] D.J.Einstein et al.(SDSS Collaboration): Astrophys. J **633**, 560 (2005).
[7] M. Tegmark et al.: Astrophys. J. **606**, 702 (2004).
[8] B. Jain and A. Tylor: Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 141392 (2003).
[9] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden, M. S. Turner: Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043528 (2004).
[10] T. P. Sotiriou, V. Faraoni: Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 451 (2010).
[11] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov: Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007).
[12] O. Bertolami, C. G. Bohmer, T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo: Phys. Rev. D **75**, 104016 (2007).
[13] T. Multamaki, I. Vilja: Phys. Rev. D **74**, 064022 (2006).
[14] T. Multamaki, I. Vilja: Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064021 (2007).
[15] S. Nojiri, S.D. Odintsov: Phys. Rep. **505**, 59 (2011).
[16] T. Clifton, P. G. Ferreira, A. Padilla, C. Skordis: Phys. Rep. **513**, 1 (2012)
[17] M.F. Shamir: Astrophys. Space Sci. **330**, 183 (2010).
[18] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov: Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024020 (2011).
[19] K. S. Adhav: Astrophys. Space Sci. **339**, 365 (2012).
[20] D. R. K. Reddy, R. Santikumar, R. L. Naidu: Astrophys. Space Sci. **342**, 249 (2012).
[21] D. R. K. Reddy, R. Santikumar, T. V. Pradeep Kumar: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 239 (2013).
[22] D. R. K. Reddy, R. Santikumar: Astrophys. Space Sci. **344**, 253 (2013).
[23] R. Chaubey, A. K. Shukla: Astrophys. Space Sci. **343**, 415 (2013).
[24] V. U. M. Rao, D. Neelima: Eur. Phys. J. Plus **128**, 35 (2013).
[25] P. K. Sahoo, B. Mishra, G. Chakradhar Reddy: Eur. Phys. J. Plus *129*, 49 (2014).
[26] B. Mishra, P. K. Sahoo: Astrophys. Space Sci. **352**, 331 (2014).
[27] A. K. Yadav: arXiv:1311.5885v1 (2013).
[28] N. Ahmed, A. Pradhan: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **53**, 289 (2014).
[29] M. Sharif, M. Zubair: J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys. **03**, 028 (2012).
[30] F.G.Alvarenga, M.J.S.Houndjo, A.V.Monwanou, J.B.C.Orou: J. Mod. Phys.**4**, 130 (2013).
[31] R. Myrzakulov: Enropy, **14(9)**, 1627 (2012).
[32] R. Myrzakulov: Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2203 (2012).
[33] T. Harko, F.S.N. Lobo: Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 373 (2010).
[34] N. J. Poplawski: arXiv:gr-qc/0608031 (2006).
[35] S. Kumar: MNRAS, **422**,2532 (2012).
[36] O. Akarsu, C. B. Kilinc: Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **42**, 763 (2010).
[37] S. K. Tripathy: Astrophys. Space Sci. **350**, 367 (2014).